Top-Antitop Production at Hadron Colliders

Roberto BONCIANI

Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie,
Université Joseph Fourier/CNRS-IN2P3/INPG,
F-38026 Grenoble, France

Plan of the Talk

- General Introduction
  - Top Quark at the Tevatron
  - LHC Perspectives
- Status of the Theoretical calculations
  - The General Framework
  - Total Cross Section at NLO
- Analytic Two-Loop QCD Corrections
- Conclusions

Top Quark

Top Quark

- With a mass of $m_t = 173.1 \pm 1.3$ GeV, the TOP quark (the up-type quark of the third generation) is the heaviest elementary particle produced so far at colliders.
- Because of its mass, top quark is going to play a unique role in understanding the EW symmetry breaking $\Rightarrow$ Heavy-Quark physics crucial at the LHC.
- Two production mechanisms
  - $pp(\bar{p}) \to t\bar{t}$



Pair Production

  - $pp(\bar{p}) \to t\bar{b}, tq'(\bar{q}'), tW^-$



Single Top

- Top quark does not hadronize, since it decays in about $5 \cdot 10^{-25}$s (one order of magnitude smaller than the hadronization time) $\Longrightarrow$ opportunity to study the quark as single particle
  - Spin properties
  - Interaction vertices
  - Top quark mass
- Decay products: almost exclusively $t \to W^+ b$ $(|V_{tb}| \gg |V_{td}|, |V_{ts}|)$



Top Quark

- With a mass of $m_t = 173.1 \pm 1.3$ GeV, the TOP quark (the up-type quark of the third generation) is the heaviest elementary particle produced so far at colliders.
- Because of its mass, top quark is going to play a unique role in understanding the EW symmetry breaking $\Rightarrow$ Heavy-Quark physics crucial at the LHC.
- Two production mechanisms

Pair Production

  - $pp(\bar{p}) \to t\bar{t}$



. . . . . .

Single Top

  - $pp(\bar{p}) \to t\bar{b}, tq'(\bar{q}'), tW^-$



. . . . . .

- Top quark does not hadronize, since it decays in about $5 \cdot 10^{-25}$s (one order of magnitude smaller than the hadronization time) $\Longrightarrow$ opportunity to study the quark as single particle
  - Spin properties
  - Interaction vertices
  - Top quark mass
- Decay products: almost exclusively $t \to W^+ b \quad (|V_{tb}| \gg |V_{td}|, |V_{ts}|)$



Top Quark

- With a mass of $m_t = 173.1 \pm 1.3$ GeV, the TOP quark (the up-type quark of the third generation) is the heaviest elementary particle produced so far at colliders.
- Because of its mass, top quark is going to play a unique role in understanding the EW symmetry breaking $\Rightarrow$ Heavy-Quark physics crucial at the LHC.
- Two production mechanisms

Pair Production

  - $pp(\bar{p}) \to t\bar{t}$



Single Top

  - $pp(\bar{p}) \to t\bar{b}, tq'(\bar{q}'), tW^-$



- Top quark does not hadronize, since it decays in about $5 \cdot 10^{-25}$s (one order of magnitude smaller than the hadronization time) $\Longrightarrow$ opportunity to study the quark as single particle
  - Spin properties
  - Interaction vertices
  - Top quark mass
- Decay products: almost exclusively $t \to W^+ b \quad (|V_{tb}| \gg |V_{td}|, |V_{ts}|)$



Top Quark

Tevatron

- To date the Top quark could be produced and studied only at the Tevatron (discovery 1995)
- $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
- $L \sim 6.5$fb^{-1} reached in 2009
- $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$ $t\bar{t}$ pairs produced so far
- Only recently confirmation of single-t



LHC

- Running since end 2009
- pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\,(14)$ TeV
- LHC will be a factory for heavy quarks ($\mathcal{L} \sim 10^{33}$–10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}, $t\bar{t}$ at $\sim$1Hz!)
- Even in the first low-luminosity phase (2 years $\sim$ 1fb^{-1} @ 7 TeV) $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^4)$ registered $t\bar{t}$ pairs



Top Quark @ Tevatron

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Events measured at Tevatron

$\boxed{\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim 7\text{pb}}$

- $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b} \rightarrow l\nu l\nu b\bar{b}$ — Dilepton $\sim 10\%$
- $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b} \rightarrow l\nu q\bar{q}' b\bar{b}$ — Lep+jets $\sim 44\%$
- $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b} \rightarrow q\bar{q}' q\bar{q}' b\bar{b}$ — All jets $\sim 46\%$

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Events measured at Tevatron

$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim$ 7pb

- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu l\nu bb$ — Dilepton $\sim 10\%$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — Lep+jets $\sim 44\%$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — All jets $\sim 46\%$

2 high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 2 jets and ME

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Events measured at Tevatron

$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim$ 7pb

- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu l\nu b\bar{b}$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu q\bar{q}'bb$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$

Dilepton $\sim 10\%$

Lep+jets $\sim 44\%$

All jets $\sim 46\%$

2 high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 2 jets and ME

1 isol high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 4 jets and ME

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Events measured at Tevatron

$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim$ 7pb

- $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b} \rightarrow l\nu l\nu b\bar{b}$ — Dilepton $\sim 10\%$
- $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b} \rightarrow l\nu q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — Lep+jets $\sim 44\%$
- $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b} \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — All jets $\sim 46\%$

2 high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 2 jets and ME

NO lept, $\geq$ 6 jets and low ME

1 isol high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 4 jets and ME

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Events measured at Tevatron

$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim$ 7pb

- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu l\nu b\bar{b}$ — Dilepton $\sim 10\%$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — Lep+jets $\sim 44\%$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — All jets $\sim 46\%$

2 high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 2 jets and ME

NO lept, $\geq$ 6 jets and low ME

1 isol high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 4 jets and ME

Background Processes



W+jets



QCD



Drell-Yan



Di-boson

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Events measured at Tevatron

$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim$ 7pb

- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu l\nu b\bar{b}$ — Dilepton $\sim 10\%$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to l\nu q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — Lep+jets $\sim 44\%$
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b} \to q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'b\bar{b}$ — All jets $\sim 46\%$

2 high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 2 jets and ME

NO lept, $\geq$ 6 jets and low ME

1 isol high-p_T lept, $\geq$ 4 jets and ME

Background Processes



Reduction of the background: b-tagging crucial

W+jets QCD Drell-Yan Di-boson

Top Quark @ Tevatron



- Total Cross Section

$$\boxed{\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{N_{data} - N_{bkgr}}{\epsilon\, L}}$$

Combination CDF-D0 ($m_t = 175$ GeV)

$$\boxed{\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 7.0 \pm 0.6\,\text{pb} \qquad (\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim 9\%)}$$

- Top-quark Mass
  - Fundamental parameter of the SM. A precise measurement useful to constraint Higgs mass from radiative corrections (Δr)
  - A possible extraction: $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \Longrightarrow$ need of precise theoretical determination

$$\frac{\Delta m_t}{m_t} \sim \frac{1}{5}\,\frac{\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}$$

Combination CDF-D0

$$\boxed{m_t = 173.1 \pm 1.3\,\text{GeV}\ (0.75\%)}$$



Top Quark @ Tevatron

- W helicity fractions $F_i = B(t \to bW^+(\lambda_W = i))$ $(i = -1, 0, 1)$ measured fitting the distribution in θ^* (the angle between l^+ in the W^+ rest frame and W^+ direction in the t rest frame)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta^*} = \frac{3}{4}F_0\sin^2\theta^* + \frac{3}{8}F_-(1-\cos\theta^*)^2 + \frac{3}{8}F_+(1+\cos\theta^*)^2$$

$$F_0 + F_+ + F_- = 1$$



$$\boxed{F_0 = 0.66 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.05 \quad F_+ = -0.03 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03}$$

- Spin correlations measured fitting the double distribution

($\theta_1\,(\theta_2)$ is the angle between the dir of flight of $l_1\,(l_2)$ in the $t(\bar{t})$ rest frame and the $t(\bar{t})$ direction in the $t\bar{t}$ rest frame)

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{d^2N}{d\cos\theta_1\,d\cos\theta_2} = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \kappa\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2)$$

$$\boxed{-0.455 < \kappa < 0.865\,(68\%\,CL)}$$

- Forward-Backward Asymmetry

$$\boxed{A_{FB} = \frac{N(y_t > 0) - N(y_t < 0)}{N(y_t > 0) + N(y_t < 0)}}$$

$$\boxed{A_{FB} = (19.3 \pm 6.5(\text{sta}) \pm 2.4(\text{sys}))\%}$$

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Tevatron searches of physics BSM in top events

- New production mechanisms via new spin-1 or spin-2 resonances: $q\bar{q} \to Z' \to t\bar{t}$ in lepton+jets and all hadronic events (bumps in the invariant-mass distribution)
- Top charge measurements (recently excluded $Q_t = -4/3$)
- Anomalous couplings
  $$L = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\left\{\gamma^\mu(V_L P_L + V_R P_R) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}(p_t - p_b)_\nu}{M_W}(g_L P_L + g_R P_R)\right\} t W^-_\mu$$
  - From helicity fractions
  - From asymmetries in the final state (for instance $A_{FB} = 3/4\,(F_+ - F_-)$)
- Forward-backward asymmetry
- Non SM Top decays. Search for charged Higgs: $t \to H^+ b \to q\bar{q}' b(\tau\nu b)$
- Search for heavy $t' \to W^+ b$ in lepton+jets

Top Quark @ Tevatron

Tevatron searches of physics BSM in top events

- New pr ... $\to t\bar{t}$ in lepton+ ...)
- Top ch
- Anoma

$L = -$

  - F
  - F ...))

- Forwar

No Evidence
of New Physics so far

- Non SM Top decays. Search for charged Higgs: $t \to H^{+}b \to q\bar{q}'b(\tau\nu b)$
- Search for heavy $t' \to W^{+}b$ in lepton+jets

Top Quark @ Tevatron

	Value	Lum fb^{-1}	SM value	SM-like?
m_t	$173.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.1$ GeV	up to 4.8	/	/
$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$	$7.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$ pb ($m_t = 175$ GeV)	2.8	6.7 pb	YES
W-helicity	$F^0 = 0.66 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.05$ $F^+ = -0.03 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$	1.9	$F^0 = 0.7$ $F^+ = 0$	YES
Spin Correlat.	$-0.455 < \kappa < 0.865$ (68% CL)	2.8	$\kappa = 0.8$	YES
A_{FB}	$0.19 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	3.2	0.05 @NLO	YES
Γ_t	< 13.1 GeV (95% CL)	1.0	1.5 GeV	YES
τ_t	$c\tau_t < 52.5\,\mu$m (95% CL)	0.3	$\sim 10^{-16}$ m	YES
BR	$(t \to Wb)/(t \to Wq) > 0.61$ (95% CL)	0.2	$\sim 100\%$	YES
Charge	Exclude $Q_t = -4/3$ (87% CL)	1.5	$2/3$	YES

LHC Perspectives

- Cross Section
  - With $100\,\text{pb}^{-1}$ of accumulated data an error of $\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim 15\%$ is expected (dominated by statistics!)
  - After 5 years of data taking an error of $\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim 5\%$ is expected
- Top Mass
  - With $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ Mass accuracy: $\Delta m_t \sim 1 - 3$ GeV
- Top Properties
  - W helicity fractions and spin correlations with $10\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ $\Longrightarrow$ 1-5%
  - Top-quark charge. With $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ we could be able to determine $Q_t = 2/3$ with an accuracy of $\sim 15\%$
- Sensitivity to new physics
  - all the above mentioned points
  - Narrow resonances: with $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ possible discovery of a Z' of $M_{Z'} \sim 700$ GeV with $\sigma_{pp\to Z'\to t\bar{t}} \sim 11\,\text{pb}$

LHC Perspectives

- Cross Section
  - With $100\,\text{pb}^{-1}$ of accumulated data an error of $\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim 15\%$ is expected (dominated by statistics!)
  - After 5 years of data taking an error of $\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \sim 5\%$ is expected
- Top Mass
  - With $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ Mass accuracy: $\Delta m_t \sim 1 - 3$ GeV
- Top Properties
  - W helicity fractions and spin correlations with $10\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ $\Longrightarrow$ 1-5%
  - Top-quark charge. With $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ we could be able to determine $Q_t = 2/3$ with an accuracy of $\sim 15\%$
- Sensitivity to new physics
  - all the above mentioned points
  - Narrow resonances: with $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ possible discovery of a Z' of $M_{Z'} \sim 700$ GeV with $\sigma_{pp\to Z'\to t\bar{t}} \sim 11\,\text{pb}$

Top-Anti Top Pair Production

Top-Anti Top Pair Production

According to the factorization theorem, the process $\boxed{h_1 + h_2 \to t\bar{t} + X}$ can be sketched as in the figure:



$$\sigma^{t\bar{t}}_{h_1,h_2} = \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \, f_{h_1,i}(x_1, \mu_F) f_{h_2,j}(x_2, \mu_F) \; \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\left(\hat{s}, m_t, \alpha_s(\mu_R), \mu_F, \mu_R\right)$$

$$s = \left(p_{h_1} + p_{h_2}\right)^2 \; , \; \hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s$$

Top-Anti Top Pair Production

According to the factorization theorem, the process $\boxed{h_1 + h_2 \to t\bar{t} + X}$ can be sketched as in the figure:



$$\sigma^{t\bar{t}}_{h_1,h_2} = \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2\, f_{h_1,i}(x_1, \mu_F) f_{h_2,j}(x_2, \mu_F)\, \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\left(\hat{s}, m_t, \alpha_s(\mu_R), \mu_F, \mu_R\right)$$

$$s = (p_{h_1} + p_{h_2})^2 \,,\; \hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s$$

Top-Anti Top Pair Production

According to the factorization theorem, the process $\boxed{h_1 + h_2 \to t\bar{t} + X}$ can be sketched as in the figure:



$$\sigma^{t\bar{t}}_{h_1,h_2} = \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \, f_{h_1,i}(x_1, \mu_F) f_{h_2,j}(x_2, \mu_F) \, \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\left(\hat{s}, m_t, \alpha_s(\mu_R), \mu_F, \mu_R\right)$$

$$s = \left(p_{h_1} + p_{h_2}\right)^2 \,, \ \hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s$$

The Cross Section: LO

The Cross Section: LO

$$q(p_1) + \bar{q}(p_2) \longrightarrow t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4)$$



Dominant at Tevatron

$\sim 85\%$

$$g(p_1) + g(p_2) \longrightarrow t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4)$$



Dominant at LHC

$\sim 90\%$

$\boxed{\sigma^{LO}_{t\bar{t}}(LHC, m_t = 171\,\text{GeV}) = 583\,\text{pb} \pm 30\%}$

$\boxed{\sigma^{LO}_{t\bar{t}}(Tev, m_t = 171\,\text{GeV}) = 5.92\,\text{pb} \pm 44\%}$

The Cross Section: NLO

The Cross Section: NLO

Fixed Order

- The NLO QCD corrections are quite sizable: + 25% at Tevatron and +50% at LHC. Scale variation $\pm 15\%$.

  Nason, Dawson, Ellis '88-'90; Beenakker, Kuijf, van Neerven, Smith '89-'91; Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi '92; Frixione et al. '95; Czakon and Mitov '08

  Melnikov and Schulze '09; Bernreuther and Si '10

- Mixed NLO QCD-EW corrections are small: - 1% at Tevatron and -0.5% at LHC.

  Beenakker *et al.* '94 Bernreuther, Fuecker, and Si '05-'08
  Kühn, Scharf, and Uwer '05-'06; Moretti, Nolten, and Ross '06.

The Cross Section: NLO

Fixed Order

- The NLO QCD corrections are quite sizable: + 25% at Tevatron and +50% at LHC. Scale variation $\pm 15\%$.

  Nason, Dawson, Ellis '88-'90; Beenakker, Kuijf, van Neerven, Smith '89-'91; Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi '92; Frixione et al. '95; Czakon and Mitov '08

  Melnikov and Schulze '09; Bernreuther and Si '10

- Mixed NLO QCD-EW corrections are small: - 1% at Tevatron and -0.5% at LHC.

  Beenakker *et al.* '94 Bernreuther, Fuecker, and Si '05-'08
  Kühn, Scharf, and Uwer '05-'06; Moretti, Nolten, and Ross '06.

- The QCD corrections to processes involving at least two large energy scales ($\hat{s}, m_t^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$) are characterized by a logarithmic behavior in the vicinity of the boundary of the phase space

$$\sigma \sim \sum_{n,m} C_{n,m} \alpha_S^n \ln^m (1-\rho) \qquad m \leq 2n$$

The Cross Section: NLO

Fixed Order

- The NLO QCD corrections are quite sizable: + 25% at Tevatron and +50% at LHC. Scale variation $\pm 15\%$.

  Nason, Dawson, Ellis '88-'90; Beenakker, Kuijf, van Neerven, Smith '89-'91; Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi '92; Frixione et al. '95; Czakon and Mitov '08

  Melnikov and Schulze '09; Bernreuther and Si '10

- Mixed NLO QCD-EW corrections are small: - 1% a

  Beenakker *et al.* '94 Bernreuthe
  Kühn, Scharf, and Uwer '05-'06

Inelasticity parameter

$$\rho = \frac{4m_t^2}{\hat{s}} \to 1$$

- The QCD corrections to processes involving at least two large energy scales ($\hat{s}, m_t^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$) are characterized by a logarithmic behavior in the vicinity of the boundary of the phase space

$$\sigma \sim \sum_{n,m} C_{n,m} \alpha_S^n \ln^m (1-\rho) \qquad m \leq 2n$$

The Cross Section: NLO

Fixed Order

- The NLO QCD corrections are quite sizable: + 25% at Tevatron and +50% at LHC. Scale variation $\pm 15\%$.

  Nason, Dawson, Ellis '88-'90; Beenakker, Kuijf, van Neerven, Smith '89-'91; Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi '92; Frixione et al. '95; Czakon and Mitov '08

  Melnikov and Schulze '09; Bernreuther and Si '10

- Mixed NLO QCD-EW corrections are small: - 1% a

  Beenakker *et al.* '94 Bernreuth
  Kühn, Scharf, and Uwer '05-'06

Inelasticity parameter

$$\rho = \frac{4m_t^2}{\hat{s}} \to 1$$

- The QCD corrections to processes involving at least two large energy scales ($\hat{s}, m_t^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$) are characterized by a logarithmic behavior in the vicinity of the boundary of the phase space

$$\sigma \sim \sum_{n,m} C_{n,m} \alpha_S^n \ln^m (1 - \rho) \qquad m \leq 2n$$

- Even if $\alpha_S \ll 1$ (perturbative region) we can have at all orders $\boxed{\alpha_S^n \ln^m (1-\rho) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)}$

  Resummation $\Longrightarrow$ improved perturbation theory

The Cross Section: NLO

Fixed Order

- The NLO QCD corrections are quite sizable: + 25% at Tevatron and +50% at LHC. Scale variation $\pm 15\%$.

Nason, Dawson, Ellis ’88-’90; Beenakker, Kuijf, van Neerven, Smith ’89-’91; Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi ’92; Frixione et al. ’95; Czakon and Mitov ’08

Melnikov and Schulze ’09; Bernreuther and Si ’10

- Mixed NLO QCD-EW corrections are small: - 1% at Tevatron and -0.5% at LHC.

Beenakker *et al.* ’94 Bernreuther, Fuecker, and Si ’05-’08
Kühn, Scharf, and Uwer ’05-’06; Moretti, Nolten, and Ross ’06.

All-order Soft-Gluon Resummation

- Leading-Logs (LL)

Laenen et al. ’92-’95; Berger and Contopanagos ’95-’96; Catani et al. ’96.

- Next-to-Leading-Logs (NLL)

Kidonakis and Sterman ’97; R. B., Catani, Mangano, and Nason ’98-’03.

- Next-to-Next-to-Leading-Logs (NNLL)

Moch and Uwer ’08; Beneke et al. ’09-’10; Czakon et al. ’09; Kidonakis ’09; Ahrens et al. ’10

NLO+NLL Theoretical Prediction

TEVATRON

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\mathrm{NLO+NLL}}(\mathrm{Tev}, m_t = 171\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{CTEQ6.5}) = 7.61\ {}^{+0.30(3.9\%)}_{-0.53(6.9\%)}\ (\mathrm{scales})\ {}^{+0.53(7\%)}_{-0.36(4.8\%)}\ (\mathrm{PDFs})\ \ \mathrm{pb}$$

LHC

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\mathrm{NLO+NLL}}(\mathrm{LHC}, m_t = 171\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{CTEQ6.5}) = 908\ {}^{+82(9.0\%)}_{-85(9.3\%)}\ (\mathrm{scales})\ {}^{+30(3.3\%)}_{-29(3.2\%)}\ (\mathrm{PDFs})\ \ \mathrm{pb}$$

M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, M. Mangano, P. Nason, and G. Ridolfi, JHEP 0809:127,2008





S. Moch and P. Uwer, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 034003

Measurement Requirements for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$

Measurement Requirements for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$

Experimental requirements for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$:

- Tevatron $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 12\% \Longrightarrow \sim$ ok!
- LHC (14 TeV, high luminosity) $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 5\% \ll$ current theoretical prediction!!

Measurement Requirements for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$

Experimental requirements for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$:

- Tevatron $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 12\% \Longrightarrow \sim$ ok!
- LHC (14 TeV, high luminosity) $\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 5\% \ll$ current theoretical prediction!!

Different groups presented approximated higher-order results for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$

- Including scale dep at NNLO, NNLL soft-gluon contributions, Coulomb corrections

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\mathrm{NNLOappr}}(\mathrm{Tev}, m_t = 173\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{MSTW2008}) = 7.04\ {}^{+0.24}_{-0.36}\ (\mathrm{scales})\ {}^{+0.14}_{-0.14}\ (\mathrm{PDFs})\ \ \mathrm{pb}$$
$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\mathrm{NNLOappr}}(\mathrm{LHC}, m_t = 173\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{MSTW2008}) = 887\ {}^{+9}_{-33}\ (\mathrm{scales})\ {}^{+15}_{-15}\ (\mathrm{PDFs})\ \ \mathrm{pb}$$

Kidonakis and Vogt ’08; Moch and Uwer ’08; Langenfeld, Moch, and Uwer ’09

- Integration of the Invariant mass distribution at NLO+NNLL

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\mathrm{NLO+NNLL}}(\mathrm{Tev}, m_t = 173.1\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{MSTW2008}) = 6.48\ {}^{+0.17}_{-0.21}\ (\mathrm{scales})\ {}^{+0.32}_{-0.25}\ (\mathrm{PDFs})\ \ \mathrm{pb}$$
$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\mathrm{NLO+NNLL}}(\mathrm{LHC}, m_t = 173.1\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{MSTW2008}) = 813\ {}^{+50}_{-36}\ (\mathrm{scales})\ {}^{+30}_{-35}\ (\mathrm{PDFs})\ \ \mathrm{pb}$$

V. Ahrens, A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B. D. Pecjak, L. L. Yang, arXiv:1006.4682

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

The NNLO calculation of the top-quark pair hadro-production requires several ingredients:

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

The NNLO calculation of the top-quark pair hadro-production requires several ingredients:

- Virtual Corrections
  - two-loop matrix elements for $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$ and $gg \to t\bar{t}$
  - interference of one-loop diagrams

Körner et al. ’05-’08; Anastasiou and Aybat ’08

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

The NNLO calculation of the top-quark pair hadro-production requires several ingredients:

- Virtual Corrections
  - two-loop matrix elements for $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$ and $gg \to t\bar{t}$
  - interference of one-loop diagrams

Körner et al. ’05-’08; Anastasiou and Aybat ’08

- Real Corrections
  - one-loop matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 1 parton
  - tree-level matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 2 partons

Dittmaier, Uwer and Weinzierl ’07-’08

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

- $\boxed{p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} + 1\,jet}$
  - Important for a deeper understanding of the $t\bar{t}$ prod (possible structure of the top-quark)
  - Important for the charge asymmetry at Tevatron
  - Technically complex involving multi-leg NLO diagrams



$\boxed{\sigma_{t\bar{t}+j}\ \text{(LHC)} = 376.2^{+17}_{-48}\ \text{pb (with } p_{T,jet,cut} = 50\,\text{GeV)}}$

confirmed by G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, C.G. Papadopoulos, M. Worek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 162002

$\boxed{t\bar{t} + 2j}$





S. Dittmaier, P. Uwer and S. Weinzierl, Eur. Phys. J. C **59** (2009) 625

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

The NNLO calculation of the top-quark pair hadro-production requires several ingredients:

- Virtual Corrections
  - two-loop matrix elements for $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$ and $gg \to t\bar{t}$
  - interference of one-loop diagrams

Körner et al. ’05-’08; Anastasiou and Aybat ’08

- Real Corrections
  - one-loop matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 1 parton
  - tree-level matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 2 partons

Dittmaier, Uwer and Weinzierl ’07-’08

- Subtraction Terms
  - Both matrix elements known for $t\bar{t} + j$ calculation, BUT subtraction up to 1 unresolved parton, while in a complete NNLO computation of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ we need subtraction terms with up to 2 unresolved partons.

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

The NNLO calculation of the top-quark pair hadro-production requires several ingredients:

- Virtual Corrections
  - two-loop matrix elements for $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$ and $gg \to t\bar{t}$
  - interference of one-loop diagrams

Körner et al. ’05-’08; Anastasiou and Aybat ’08

- Real Corrections
  - one-loop matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 1 parton
  - tree-level matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 2 partons

Dittmaier, Uwer and Weinzierl ’07-’08

- Subtraction Terms
  - Both matrix elements known for $t\bar{t} + j$ calculation, BUT subtraction up to 1 unresolved parton, while in a complete NNLO computation of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ we need subtraction terms with up to 2 unresolved partons.

$\Longrightarrow$ Need an extension of the subtraction methods at the NNLO.

Gehrmann-De Ridder, Ritzmann ’09, Daleo et al. ’09, Boughezal et al. ’10, Glover, Pires ’10, Czakon ’10

Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order

The NNLO calculation of the top-quark pair hadro-production requires several ingredients:

- Virtual Corrections
  - two-loop matrix elements for $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$ and $gg \to t\bar{t}$
  - interference of one-loop diagrams

  Körner et al. ’05-’08; Anastasiou and Aybat ’08

- Real Corrections
  - one-loop matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 1 parton
  - tree-level matrix elements for the hadronic production of $t\bar{t}$ + 2 partons

  Dittmaier, Uwer and Weinzierl ’07-’08

- Subtraction Terms
  - Both matrix elements known for $t\bar{t} + j$ calculation, BUT subtraction up to 1 unresolved parton, while in a complete NNLO computation of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ we need subtraction terms with up to 2 unresolved partons.

  $\Longrightarrow$ Need an extension of the subtraction methods at the NNLO.

  Gehrmann-De Ridder, Ritzmann ’09, Daleo et al. ’09, Boughezal et al. ’10, Glover, Pires ’10, Czakon ’10

Two-Loop Corrections to $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$

Two-Loop Corrections to $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2(s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times 1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} \quad = \quad & N_c C_F\left[N_c^2 A + B + \frac{C}{N_c^2} + N_l\left(N_c D_l + \frac{E_l}{N_c}\right)\right. \\ & \left. + N_h\left(N_c D_h + \frac{E_h}{N_c}\right) + N_l^2 F_l + N_l N_h F_{lh} + N_h^2 F_h\right]\end{aligned}$$

218 two-loop diagrams contribute to the 10 different color coefficients

Two-Loop Corrections to $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2(s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times 1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} \quad = \quad & N_c C_F \left[N_c^2 A + B + \frac{C}{N_c^2} + N_l\left(N_c D_l + \frac{E_l}{N_c}\right)\right. \\ & \left. + N_h\left(N_c D_h + \frac{E_h}{N_c}\right) + N_l^2 F_l + N_l N_h F_{lh} + N_h^2 F_h\right]\end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\text{218 two-loop diagrams}}$ contribute to the $\boxed{10}$ different color coefficients

- The whole $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)}$ is known numerically

Czakon '08.

Two-Loop Corrections to $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2(s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times 1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} \quad = \quad & N_c C_F \left[N_c^2 A + B + \frac{C}{N_c^2} + N_l \left(N_c D_l + \frac{E_l}{N_c}\right)\right. \\ & \left. + N_h \left(N_c D_h + \frac{E_h}{N_c}\right) + N_l^2 F_l + N_l N_h F_{lh} + N_h^2 F_h\right]\end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\text{218 two-loop diagrams}}$ contribute to the $\boxed{10}$ different color coefficients

- The whole $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)}$ is known numerically

  Czakon '08.

- The coefficients D_i, E_i, F_i, and A are known analytically (agreement with known res)

  R. B., Ferroglia, Gehrmann, Maitre, and Studerus '08-'09

Two-Loop Corrections to $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2(s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times 1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} \quad = \quad & N_c C_F \Bigg[N_c^2 A + B + \frac{C}{N_c^2} + N_l \left(N_c D_l + \frac{E_l}{N_c} \right) \\ & + N_h \left(N_c D_h + \frac{E_h}{N_c} \right) + N_l^2 F_l + N_l N_h F_{lh} + N_h^2 F_h \Bigg]\end{aligned}$$

218 two-loop diagrams contribute to the 10 different color coefficients

- The whole $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)}$ is known numerically

  Czakon '08.

- The coefficients D_i, E_i, F_i, and A are known analytically (agreement with known res)

  R. B., Ferroglia, Gehrmann, Maitre, and Studerus '08-'09

- The poles of $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)}$ (and therefore of B and C) are known analytically

  Ferroglia, Neubert, Pecjak, and Li Yang '09

Two-Loop Corrections to $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$

- $\boxed{D_i, E_i, F_i}$ come from the corrections involving a closed (light or heavy) fermionic loop:



- $\boxed{A}$ the leading-color coefficient, comes from the planar diagrams:

- The calculation is carried out analytically using:
  - Laporta Algorithm for the reduction of the dimensionally-regularized scalar integrals (in terms of which we express the $|\mathcal{M}|^2$) to the Master Integrals (MIs)
  - Differential Equations Method for the analytic solution of the MIs

Laporta Algorithm and Diff. Equations



PUBLIC PROGRAMS

- AIR – Maple package
  (C. Anastasiou and A. Lazopoulos, JHEP **0407** (2004) 046)
- FIRE – Mathematica package (A. V. Smirnov, JHEP **0810** (2008) 107)
- REDUZE – C++/GiNaC package
  (C. Studerus, Comput. Phys. Commun. **181** (2010) 1293)

Master Integrals for N_l and N_h



18 irreducible two-loop topologies (26 MIs)

R. B., A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, D. Maitre, and C. Studerus, JHEP **0807** (2008) 129.

Master Integrals for the Leading Color Coeff



For the leading color coefficient there are 9 additional irreducible topologies (19 MIs)

R. B., A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, and C. Studerus, JHEP **0908** (2009) 067.

Example



$$= \frac{1}{m^6} \sum_{i=-4}^{-1} A_i \epsilon^i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{-4} &= \frac{x^2}{24(1-x)^4(1+y)} \, , \\
A_{-3} &= \frac{x^2}{96(1-x)^4(1+y)} \Big[-10G(-1;y) + 3G(0;x) - 6G(1;x) \Big] \, , \\
A_{-2} &= \frac{x^2}{48(1-x)^4(1+y)} \Big[-5\zeta(2) - 6G(-1;y)G(0;x) + 12G(-1;y)G(1;x) + 8G(-1,-1;y) \Big] \, , \\
A_{-1} &= \frac{x^2}{48(1-x)^4(1+y)} \Big[-13\zeta(3) + 38\zeta(2)G(-1;y) + 9\zeta(2)G(0;x) + 6\zeta(2)G(1;x) - 24\zeta(2)G\left(-1/y;x\right) \\
&\quad +24G(0;x)G(-1,-1;y) - 24G(1;x)G(-1,-1;y) - 12G\left(-1/y;x\right)G(-1,-1;y) \\
&\quad -12G(-y;x)G(-1,-1;y) - 6G(0;x)G(0,-1;y) + 6G\left(-1/y;x\right)G(0,-1;y) + 6G(-y;x)G(0,-1;y) \\
&\quad +12G(-1;y)G(1,0;x) - 24G(-1;y)G(1,1;x) - 6G(-1;y)G\left(-1/y,0;x\right) + 12G(-1;y)G\left(-1/y,1;x\right) \\
&\quad -6G(-1;y)G(-y,0;x) + 12G(-1;y)G(-y,1;x) + 16G(-1,-1,-1;y) - 12G(-1,0,-1;y) \\
&\quad -12G(0,-1,-1;y) + 6G(0,0,-1;y) + 6G(1,0,0;x) - 12G(1,0,1;x) - 12G(1,1,0;x) + 24G(1,1,1;x) \\
&\quad -6G\left(-1/y,0,0;x\right) + 12G\left(-1/y,0,1;x\right) + 6G\left(-1/y,1,0;x\right) - 12G\left(-1/y,1,1;x\right) + 6G(-y,1,0;x) \\
&\quad -12G(-y,1,1;x) \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

Example



$$= \frac{1}{m^6} \sum_{i=-4}^{-1} A_i \epsilon^i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$$

$$A_{-4} = \frac{x^2}{24(1-x)^4(1+y)},$$

$$A_{-3} = \frac{x^2}{96(1-x)^4(1+y)}\Big[-10G(-1;y) + 3G(0;x) - 6\cdots$$

$$A_{-2} = \frac{x^2}{48(1-x)^4(1+y)}\Big[-5\zeta(2) - 6G(-1;y)G(0;x) + 1\cdots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{-1} = \frac{x^2}{48(1-x)^4(1+y)}\Big[&-13\zeta(3) + 38\zeta(2)G(-1;y) + 9\zeta(2)G(0;x) + 6\zeta(2)G(1;x) - 24\zeta(2)G(-1/y;x) \\
&+24G(0;x)G(-1,-1;y) - 24G(1;x)G(-1,-1;y) - 12G(-1/y;x)G(-1,-1;y) \\
&-12G(-y;x)G(-1,-1;y) - 6G(0;x)G(0,-1;y) + 6G(-1/y;x)G(0,-1;y) + 6G(-y;x)G(0,-1;y) \\
&+12G(-1;y)G(1,0;x) - 24G(-1;y)G(1,1;x) - 6G(-1;y)G(-1/y,0;x) + 12G(-1;y)G(-1/y,1;x) \\
&-6G(-1;y)G(-y,0;x) - 12G(-1;y)G(-y,1;x) + 16G(-1,-1,-1;y) - \cdots G(-1,0,-1;y) \\
&-12G(0,-1,-1;y) + 6G(0,0,-1;y) + 6G(1,0,0;x) - 12G(1,0,1;x) - 12G(1,1,0;x) + 24G(1,1,1;x) \\
&-6G(-1/y,0,0;x) + 12G(-1/y,0,1;x) + 6G(-1/y,1,0;x) - 12G(-1/y,1,1;x) + 6G(-y,1,0;x) \\
&-12G(-y,1,1;x)\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho = \frac{4m_t^2}{\hat{s}} \to 1$$

1- and 2-dim GHPLs

GHPLs

- One- and two-dimensional Generalized Harmonic Polylogarithms (GHPLs) are defined as repeated integrations over set of basic functions. In the case at hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_w(x) &= \frac{1}{x-w}\,, \quad \text{with} \quad w \in \left\{0, 1, -1, -y, -\frac{1}{y}, \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right\} \\
f_w(y) &= \frac{1}{y-w}\,, \quad \text{with} \quad w \in \left\{0, 1, -1, -x, -\frac{1}{x}, 1 - \frac{1}{x} - x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The weight-one GHPLs are defined as

$$
G(0;x) = \ln x\,, \qquad G(w;x) = \int_0^x dt\, f_w(t)
$$

- Higher weight GHPLs are defined by iterated integrations

$$
G(\underbrace{0,0,\cdots,0}_{n};x) = \frac{1}{n!}\ln^n x\,, \qquad G(w,\cdots;x) = \int_0^x dt\, f_w(t) G(\cdots;t)
$$

- Shuffle algebra. Integration by parts identities

Remiddi and Vermaseren '99, Gehrmann and Remiddi '01-'02, Aglietti and R. B. '03, Vollinga and Weinzierl '04, R. B., A. Ferroglia, T. Gehrmann, and C. Studerus '09

Coefficient A

Finite part of A



$$\eta = \frac{s}{4m^2} - 1\,, \qquad \phi = -\frac{t - m^2}{s}$$

Threshold expansion versus exact result



$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}}$$

partonic c.m. scattering angle $= \frac{\pi}{2}$

Numerical evaluation of the GHPLs with GiNaC C++ routines.

Vollinga and Weinzierl '04

Two-Loop Corrections to $gg \to t\bar{t}$

Two-Loop Corrections to $gg \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2(s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times0)} \quad = \quad & (N_c^2-1)\Big(N_c^3 A + N_c B + \frac{1}{N_c}C + \frac{1}{N_c^3}D + N_c^2 N_l E_l + N_c^2 N_h E_h \\ & + N_l F_l + N_h F_h + \frac{N_l}{N_c^2}G_l + \frac{N_h}{N_c^2}G_h + N_c N_l^2 H_l + N_c N_h^2 H_h \\ & + N_c N_l N_h H_{lh} + \frac{N_l^2}{N_c}I_l + \frac{N_h^2}{N_c}I_h + \frac{N_l N_h}{N_c}I_{lh}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

789 two-loop diagrams contribute to 16 different color coefficients

- No numeric result for $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times0)}$ yet
- The poles of $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times0)}$ are known analytically

Ferroglia, Neubert, Pecjak, and Li Yang '09

- The coefficients A, E_l–I_l can be evaluated analytically as for the $q\bar{q}$ channel

R. B., Ferroglia, Gehrmann, von Manteuffel and Studerus, in preparation

Two-Loop Corrections to $gg \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 (s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times 1)}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)} = (N_c^2 - 1)\Big(N_c^3 A + N_c B + \frac{1}{N_c} C + \frac{1}{N_c^3} D + N_c^2 N_l E_l + N_c^2 N_h E_h$$

$$+ N_l F_l + N_h F_h + \frac{N_l}{N_c^2} G_l + \frac{N_h}{\cdots} G_h + N_c N_l^2 H_l + N_c N_h^2 H_h \cdots$$

$$+ N_c N_l N_h H_{lh} + \frac{N_l^2}{N_c} I_l + \cdots$$

- We finished the evaluation of the leading-color coefficient

NO additional MI

789 two-loop diagrams contribute to 16 different c…

- No numeric result for $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)}$ yet
- The poles of $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times 0)}$ are known analytically

Ferroglia, Neubert, Pecjak, and Li Yang '09

- The coefficients A, E_l–I_l can be evaluated analytically as for the $q\bar{q}$ channel

R. B., Ferroglia, Gehrmann, von Manteuffel and Studerus, in preparation

Two-Loop Corrections to $gg \to t\bar{t}$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2(s,t,m,\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s^2}{N_c}\left[\mathcal{A}_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\mathcal{A}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_s^3\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times0)} + \mathcal{A}_2^{(1\times1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^{(2\times0)} &= (N_c^2-1)\Big(N_c^3 A + N_c B + \frac{1}{N_c} C + \frac{1}{N_c^3} D + N_c^2 N_l E_l + N_c^2 N_h E_h \\ &+ N_l F_l + N_h F_h + \frac{N_l}{N_c^2} G_l + \frac{N_h}{N_c^2} G_h + N_c N_l^2 H_l + N_c N_h^2 H_h \\ &+ N_c N_l N_h H_{lh} + \frac{N_l^2}{N_c} I_l + \frac{N_h^2}{N_c} I_h + \frac{N_l N_h}{N_c} I_{lh}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

- For the light-fermion contrib
one missing topology to reduce

- up to now

7 additional MIs

different color coefficients

lytically

Ferroglia, Neubert, Pecjak, and Li Yang '09

- The coefficients A, E_l–I_l can be evaluated analytically as for the $q\bar{q}$ channel

R. B., Ferroglia, Gehrmann, von Manteuffel and Studerus, in preparation

Conclusions

- In the last 15 years, Tevatron explored top-quark properties reaching a remarkable experimental accuracy. The top mass could be measured with $\Delta m_t/m_t = 0.75\%$ and the production cross section with $\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 9\%$. Other observables could be measured only with bigger errors.
- At the LHC the situation will further improve. The production cross section of $t\bar{t}$ pairs is expected to reach the accuracy of $\Delta\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 5\%$!!
- This experimental precision requires a complete NNLO theoretical analysis.
- In this talk I briefly reviewed the analytic evaluation of the two-loop matrix elements.
  - The corrections involving a fermionic loop (light or heavy) in the $q\bar{q}$ channel are completed, together with the leading color coefficient.
  - Analogous corrections in the gg channel can be calculated with the same technique and are at the moment under study.
- The calculation of the crossed diagrams and of the diagrams with a heavy loop have still to be afforded.